

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/782,242	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MATHISKE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MENG YAO ZHE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

**All Participants:**

(1) MENG YAO ZHE.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 November 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** After-Final

(3) Ms. Libby Husky.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The Examiner proposed changes to which the applicant agreed.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)